UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,509	08/01/2003	Letian Chen	1033-SS00416	4334
	7590 04/23/200 L DEPARTMENT - T	EXAMINER		
ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING ROOM 2A-207 ONE AT & T WAY BEDMINISTER, NJ 07921			CUMARASEGARAN, VERN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/632,509	CHEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	VERN CUMARASEGARAN	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10-14 and 21-26 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8, 10-14, 21-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-6, 10-14, 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Florance et al. (US 2002/0065739 A1).

As to claim 1, Florance et al show a persistence layer (Fig.1, A16) that stores a plurality of properties in a computer-readable storage medium (paragraph 425 "...memory means for storing data...");

an application layer that offers services to applications programs having a variable dependent on one of the plurality of properties (Fig.1 A14 where an application such as the market analytics application would be dependent on a plurality of properties), the services being offered through an application programming interface provided by the application layer, the services including promptly notifying an application program having a variable dependent on a particular property when a notification of an update for the particular property is received (paragraph 52 where daily updates is considered to be within the scope of prompt notification); and

a interface layer that accepts user input to update properties stored by the persistence layer (Fig.73), wherein the interface layer notifies the application layer of the update for the particular property (paragraph 54).

Application/Control Number: 10/632,509 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

As to claims 4 and 5, Florance et al show application class of a middleware application (Fig.1 where database application is considered middleware).

As to claim 6, Florance et al show application layer being contained within a common project within middleware (Fig.1).

As to claims 10, 11, 14, Florance et al show displaying of property information (paragraph 148 where the type of information is considered non-functional descriptive language and thus is not given patentable weight).

As to claims 12, 13, Florance et al show accepting user input to update property information (Fig.1).

As to claims 21 and 22, Florance et al show accepting, into an interface layer of a property-manager system, user input to update a first property stored in a computer-readable storage medium, the first property including a name- value pair (Fig.1); in response to accepting the user input, notifying an application layer of the system of the updated first property, the application layer offering services to applications that have a variable dependent on the first property, the services being offered through an application programming interface provided by the application layer, the services including promptly notifying an application having a variable dependent on the updated first property when an update for the first property is received (paragraph 52 where daily updates is considered to be within the scope of prompt notification); and in response to the application layer being notified of the updated first property, notifying an application having a variable dependent on the first property of the updated first property, wherein the notifying the application is performed by the application layer

Art Unit: 3629

(paragraph 54).

As to claims 23-26, Florance et al shows collecting property information to perform market analysis (Fig.1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florance et al. (US 2002/0065739 A1) in view of Mukundan et al (US 6,907,451 B1).

As to claim 2, Mukundal et al show an application class including a property listener that receives the notification, the property listener including a list of property keys of interest to the application second property listener that can modify variables in the second software application without having to restart the second software application (col.42-43 lines 60-9 where "marked fields" are considered to be keys of interest). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Florance et al and incorporate the method of Mukundal et al since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination

were predictable. (See KSR [127 S Ct. at 1739] "The combination of familiar elements according to known methods is likely to be obvious when it does no more than yield predictable results.").

As to claim 3, Mukundal et al show an application layer that maintains a lookup table that records, for each of a plurality of property keys, a list of property listeners that have registered with the application layer to listen for that property key (col.41 lines 13-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Florance et al and incorporate the method of Mukundal et al since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable. (See KSR [127 S Ct. at 1739] "The combination of familiar elements according to known methods is likely to be obvious when it does no more than yield predictable results.").

As to claim 7, Mukundal et al show application layer being contained in a client JAVA file (col.4, lines 52-59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Florance et al and incorporate the method of Mukundal et al since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable. (See KSR [127 S Ct. at 1739] "The combination of familiar elements

Art Unit: 3629

according to known methods is likely to be obvious when it does no more than yield predictable results.").

As to claim 8, Mukundal et al show dynamic link library (Fig.13 where runtime library is considered dynamic link library). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Florance et al and incorporate the method of Mukundal et al since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable. (See KSR [127 S Ct. at 1739] "The combination of familiar elements according to known methods is likely to be obvious when it does no more than yield predictable results.").

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Vinati et al. US 2002/0046213 A1 - Has the feature of promptly modifying property data

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VERN CUMARASEGARAN whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/632,509 Page 7

Art Unit: 3629

(571)270-3273. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30am-

5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/V. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 3629

/Jamisue A. Plucinski/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3629